IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL W. HILL,	Docket No. 03-323E
Plaintiff,	(Judge Susan Paradise Baxter)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, JOHN J. LAMANNA, WILLIAM K. COLLINS, MARTY SAPKO, STEPHEN HOUSLER, ROBERT KLARK, ROBERT REOME, BETH FANTASKEY, and DEBORAH FORSYTH, Defendants	ELECTRONICALLY FILED PLEADING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Filed on behalf of: Plaintiffs Counsel of record for this party: Richard A. Lanzillo, Esq. Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C. 120 West 10 th Street Erie, PA 16501 Telephone (814) 459-2800 Facsimile (814) 453-4530 Email rlanzillo@kmgslaw.com PA53811
LESLIE R. KELLY,	Docket No. 03-368E (Judge Susan Paradise Baxter)
Plaintiff,	
vs.	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, JOHN J. LAMANNA, MARTY SAPKO, DEBORAH FORSYTH, STEPHEN HOUSLER	
Defendants	,)

KEVIN SIGGERS,) C.A. No. 03-355 Erie) (Judge Susan Paradise Baxter)
Plaintiff)
vs.)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, JOHN LAMANNNA, DEBORAH FORSYTH, MARTY SAPKO, and STEPHEN HOUSLER,))))
Defendants	,)
MYRON WARD,) Docket No. 04-11) (Judge Susan A. Paradise Baxter)
Plaintiff,	
vs.))
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, JOHN LAMANNA, DEBORAH FORSYTH, MARTY SAPKO, STEPHEN HOUSLER, NED WATSON,))))
Defendants	ý
KENNY HILL, Plaintiff,) Docket No. 05-160E) (Judge Susan Paradise Baxter)
,)
VS.)
JOHN J. LAMANNA, DEBORAH FORSYTH, MARTY SAPKO, and STEPHEN HOUSLER)))
Defendants)

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiffs, through their counsel, Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C., respectfully move the Court for an extension to file their response to defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, and state in support as follows:

- 1. On February 2, 2007, defendants filed their Motion for Summary Judgment, including a 94-page brief and a voluminous appendix of exhibits.
- 2. Under the Court's present scheduling order, plaintiffs' response to defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is due on February 16, 2007.
- 3. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant them an extension of ten(10) days to respond to defendants' motion.
 - 4. Compelling grounds for an extension exist due to the following:
 - a. Plaintiffs' medical expert has yet to receive x-rays necessary to determine whether he can offer a proper expert opinion regarding each of the plaintiffs;
 - b. The extensive and voluminous nature of defendants' motion and brief
 make it impossible to prepare an appropriate response to defendants'
 motion, particularly given the status of plaintiffs' medical expert review;
 - c. Plaintiff Kevin Siggers has been hospitalized at the Cleveland Clinic since early February with lesions on his throat and lungs, and, as yet, the etiology of his illness and condition has not been determined; and
 - d. Defendants have failed to comply with local rules that require the submission of a concise statement of material facts identifying the facts they contend are undisputed for purposes of their motion.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs respectfully request a ten-day extension to respond to defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOX McLAUGHLIN GORNALL & SENNETT, P.C.

BY: /s/ Richard A. Lanzillo, Esq.
Richard A. Lanzillo, Esquire
PA I.D. #53811
Neal R. Devlin, Esquire
PA I.D. #89223
120 West Tenth Street
Erie, Pennsylvania 16501
(814) 459-2800

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Michael Hill, Leslie Kelly, Kevin Siggers, Myron Ward And Kenny Hill

#714289